

DISTRICT COURT OF THE VIRGIN ISLANDS
DIVISION OF ST. THOMAS AND ST. JOHN

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
v.)	Criminal No. 2018-11
)	
JOSE RIVERA,)	
)	
Defendant.)	
)	

ATTORNEYS:

Gretchen Shappert, United States Attorney

Kim L. Chisholm, AUSA

United States Attorney's Office

St. Thomas, U.S.V.I.

For the United States of America,

Howard P. Stewart

U.S. Department of Justice, Environmental Crimes Section

Washington, DC

For the United States of America,

Omodare Jupiter, FPD

Kia Danielle Sears, AAFP

Office of the Federal Public Defender

St. Thomas, U.S.V.I.

For Jose Rivera.

ORDER

GÓMEZ, J.

Before the Court is the application of Jose Rivera
("Rivera") to waive his speedy trial. For the reasons stated

herein, the time to try this case is extended up to and including September 28, 2018.

While the Speedy Trial Act requires that defendants be tried within seventy days of indictment, the Court specifically finds that extending this period would be in the best interest of justice for several reasons. First, an extension is necessary to allow Rivera time to review discovery and investigate the charges against him. Second, Rivera made his request with the advice and consent of counsel. Third, without an extension, Rivera would be denied reasonable time necessary to explore plea options and prepare for trial.

Consistent with these concerns, the United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit has recognized that "whether or not a case is 'unusual' or 'complex,' an 'ends of justice' continuance may in appropriate circumstances be granted." *United States v. Fields*, 39 F.3d 439, 444 (3d Cir. 1994); *United States v. Dota*, 33 F.3d 1179 (9th Cir. 1994) ("An ends of justice continuance may be justified on grounds that one side needs more time to prepare for trial [even if the] case [i]s not 'complex.'"); see also *United States v. Lattany*, 982 F.2d 866, 883 (3d Cir. 1992) ("[T]he district court did not abuse its discretion when it delayed the trial to give counsel . . . opportunity to . . . decid[e] upon and prepar[e] an appropriate

defense."); *United States v. Brooks*, 697 F.2d 517, 522 (3d Cir. 1982) (holding there was no abuse of discretion where district court found that multiple count, multiple defendant "case was complex and required additional time for adequate preparation.").

The premises considered; it is hereby

ORDERED that the time beginning from the date of this order granting an extension through September 28, 2018, shall be excluded in computing the time within which the trial for Jose Rivera must be initiated pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3161.

S_____
Curtis V. Gómez
District Judge